IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:11-CV-601-D

LINDA CELESTE VICK,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) ORDER
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,)
Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,)
Defendant.)

On January 9, 2013, Magistrate Judge Gates issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 19]. In the M&R, Judge Gates recommended that the court deny plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 14], grant defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 16], and affirm defendant's final decision. Neither party filed objections to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration in original) (emphasis and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 14] is DENIED, defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 16] is GRANTED,

defendant's final decision is AFFIRMED, and this action is DISMISSED. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This <u>9</u> day of February 2013.

AMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge